

SRI DURGA MALLESWARA SIDDHARTHA MAHILA KALASALA An Autonomous College in the Jurisdiction of Krishna University

Sponsors: SIDDHARTHA ACADEMY OF GENERAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION



Faculty Development Programme Report – "QUALITY ASSURANCE PARAMETERS FOR NEW NAAC FRAMEWORK:A PARADIGM SHIFT IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS"

Held By: INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Date: 09. 06. 2025

Day: MONDAY

Venue: WEBINAR HALL

ISO Certified Institution

Time: 10:30AM- 12:30PM

Participants: ALL FACULTY MEMBERS

Resource Person: PROF.S.HARA SREERAMULU,

Ph.D., FBS., FLS., M.A.B.P., Dip.in German,

Managing Director,

YESS India Research & Development Centre,

Visakhapatnam.

INVITATION:



OBJECTIVES:

- To familiarize faculty members with the revised NAAC accreditation framework, emphasizing the updated quality indicators, criteria, and key metrics that drive assessment and accreditation in higher education institutions.
- To equip participants with practical strategies and documentation skills required for effective implementation of quality assurance practices, institutional benchmarking, and continuous academic improvement aligned with the paradigm shift in the new NAAC framework.

WELCOMING ADDRESS BY PRINCIPAL:



ADDRESSING THE GATHERING BY RESOURCE PERSON:

- The session was led by PROF.S.HARA SREERAMULU, a distinguished academician with expertise in institutional quality assurance.
- Prof. S.Hara Sreeramulu began by explaining What is NAAC?—an autonomous body established by the University Grants Commission (UGC) to assess and accredit institutions of higher education in India. He emphasized that NAAC plays a vital role in enhancing the quality of education by promoting accountability, transparency, and academic excellence.

- The session covered the Accreditation Process and Self Study Report (SSR) in detail. Faculty members were guided through the preparation of the SSR, which is a critical document showcasing institutional performance based on pre-defined criteria.
- Prof.S.Hara Sreeramulu highlighted best practices for data collection, documentation, and alignment with NAAC metrics.
- Further, the FDP provided insights into the New NAAC Framework, focusing on key changes such as increased emphasis on quantitative metrics through the Data Validation and Verification (DVV) process, the role of Student Satisfaction Surveys (SSS), and the use of ICT-enabled processes.
- Prof.S. Hara Sreeramulu also explained the shift from a descriptive to a more outcome-based approach in institutional assessments.
- The programme concluded with an interactive session, where faculty members clarified their doubts and shared institutional challenges in adopting the revised framework.
- Overall, the FDP was highly informative and beneficial in preparing faculty to contribute effectively to the NAAC accreditation journey.







FALICITATION TO RESOURCE PERSON:



OUTCOME:

- Faculty members gained comprehensive understanding of the revised NAAC framework, including key quality indicators, documentation requirements, and assessment criteria essential for institutional accreditation and ranking.
- Participants developed the ability to apply quality assurance strategies and contribute effectively to the preparation of the Self Study Report (SSR) and other NAAC-related documentation for their respective institutions.

ATTENDANCE:

					96	125
	SRI DURGA MA	ALLESWAR	ASID	HARTH	A MAHILA KALASALA : VIJAYA	
		<i>C</i> .	IRCU	LAR-24	024-2025 (Eugen) 9025-	0-0/-11
	FDP on	" Qu	ality	Ass	urance parameters t	TOT New
	NAAC F	ramewo	TK: A	A dora	digm shift in High	er Educatio
	Institution	oni"		1]	
		3	At	tencla	oce sheet	
				10000		
					(Dr.S. KALP	
	Sri./Smt./Dr./Ku	100			PRINCI	PAL
Dent	t. of English		1 -1	Incol		
01	K.Sailaja Rani	1	sign	01	of Physics	Sign
02	K.Sasi		10-	102	M.Geetha Madhuri	Que
03	P.Ratna Sree	001	-	03	D. Neeraja	The
04	Y. Sri Sindhu	2 Bal	1		S. Naga Suneetha	de la
05	S.Hindola	Undess	410	01	of Computer Science	
Dept	t.of Telugu		1 4-1Ve	02	M. Praveena	TP:Ch
	K.Sarala		TUP	103	M. Dharma Vardhani B.Kavitha	1 mable
	I. Manjula			04	B.Kavitha	1 hrs
Dept	of Hindi		100	DE	V.Siva Krishna Veni B.Komali	VSA
01	A.Rama Lakshm	i	ARE	-06	P. Harika	E
Dept	of Mathematics		1 1100	07	P. Sri Bharathi	
01	S.Sudha Vani		B	08	Ch.Archana	PSRL
02	P.V.Durgavathi			09	M. Beulah Rani	
03	S.V.D Mohana R	upa	0	10	L. Ramya	
	B.Kiranmayi		. 4	11	N.Yamini Babitha	2.20
	K. Bhanu Priya		BLAN	12	M.Swetha	N.Shr
06	Y. Praroopa		Mar	13	S. Gokhila	M.h.
				14	P. Anusha	2600
				15	G. Hasha Nandini	- P + m
				16	P. Naga Sri Abhinaya	41.4.10
				17	K. Naga Lalitha Sri	K-13-6
				18	Sk. Nageena	K'N'G
				19	D. Sowjanya	0.0
				20.	H. Amrutha	D. Son to
						10-749
Dent	pt.of Statistics				of Chemistry	
01	A. Suhasini		6	01	M. Subhashini	F
02	P. Maheswari		12	02	B. Lavanya	0
	B. Brahmani		-	03	Y. Ramya	02
04	B.Haritha		10.10	04	A. Surya Kumari	
05	K. Ramya Sree		He H		M. Syamala	
06	Ch.Glory		P	06	M. Radhika	A
07			94-		Botany	
Dept.	of Electronics			01	T. Salomi	18-
01	J. Parasamayi Ka	othi		02	B. Sailaja	X
02	Ch. Radha Krishn	nem	h front			
	Manohari	CHAX				
03	1.Bhavan;			Dept. of Zoology & Aqua Culture		
Dent.	of History		ag -		a, a rique curtile	
	Ria Kumar Jain		2	01	R. Ramani	R
21						
01	Julian Julii			02	Jahnavi Naidu	Clar

Dep	ot. of Economics		Dept. of Micro-Biology				
01	D. Aruna	P	-				
02	M. Suneetha	SK	01	N.Saritha	-		
Dep	t. of Political Science	1251	02	Ch.Meghala Ch tugu			
01	G.Padmaja		03	T. Sree Veena			
02	N. Louis		Dept. of Bio-Chemistry				
Dept. of Commerce			01	A.Harika	14.		
01	V.Sandhya Lakshmi	TXH	02	A.pallavi	14		
02	T.Naga Rani		Dept.of Food & Nutrition				
03	Ch. Lavanya	(Chan)	01	K.N.Vara Lakshmi 3	-0		
04	P. Vanitha	Rit	02	A.Annapurna	g		
05	G. Renuka Bai		03	Ch.V. Ramya Gayathri	6-		
06	K. Asha Jyothi	Ra	04	Tehamina	-		
07	M. Padmavathi	Mil.	N.C.C				
08	B. Mounika	K	01	P.V. Durgavathi			
09	P. Bhagya Lakshmi P. Bhag	1	Dept. of Library Science				
10	B.Kalpana //	Jr	01	P. Mallika	Pmeli		
11	Ch.Manjusha	A	Dept. of Physical Education				
12	M.Pavani .		01	N.H.V. Lakshmi			
13	N. Jhansi Norvib	NO.					
14	G. Usha Rant P. Dup Sul	6 Dri					
15	1						

FEEDBACK:



- What aspects of this lecture was most useful or valuable? The following are the different answers from faculty members
- Clear explanation of the revised NAAC criteria and key indicators, especially the shift from qualitative to quantitative assessment and the emphasis on outcome-based metrics.
- Detailed guidance on preparing the Self Study Report (SSR) and aligning institutional data with the NAAC's Data Validation and Verification (DVV) process.
- Practical insights into best practices and documentation strategies that can help institutions improve their NAAC scores and sustain academic quality.

- Clarification of common mistakes made during NAAC submissions, and how to address them proactively in the quality assurance cycle.
- Interactive session with real-time examples and case studies, which made complex concepts more relatable and easier to understand for immediate application.




